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Plan Review and Field Performance Update
Workload in Construction Values 2012+

- Totals
- In Review
- Pending Construction Start
- Under Construction
- In Closure
No. of Reviews 1st Qtr. 2018

- Office: 761 (32%), 1164 (78%), total = 1925 (50%)
- Field: 2394 (68%), 323 (22%), total = 1633 (50%)
- Office And Field: 1487 (61.7%)

Approximately 63 reviews/day
Workload

Σ = 14,940
≈ 60 reviews/day
66% of the Plan Reviews are completed within 21 days or less
88% of the PAD Reviews are completed within 21 days or less
Plan Review Workload
Number of Permits – 3rd Qtr. 2011 thru 2nd Qtr. 2018

Statewide combined Office and Field Number of Permits Issued by Quarter
Number of SB 1838 Projects – 2\textsuperscript{nd} Qtr. 2018

Statewide
Number of SB 1838 EXEMPT Projects Approved by Quarter
The Dynamic State of our Workload

[Graphs showing various trends and data over time for different regions such as California, Central Region, North Region, Coastal Region, Rapid Review Region, North Los Angeles Region, South Los Angeles Region, South Region, with data points and line graphs indicating trends and changes over time.]
OSHPD/FDD Workload Geographic Distribution w/ Time
Matrix Management

• **Traditional Management**
  – Each employee has one manager which is responsible to assign tasks to them, monitor their work and their overall performance.

• **Matrix Management**
  – The practice of managing individuals with more than one reporting line (in a matrix organization structure), but it is also commonly used to describe managing cross functional, cross business group and other forms of working that cross the traditional vertical business units – often silos - of function and geography.
Matrix Management Advantages

• To break business information silos - to increase cooperation and communication across the traditional silos and unlock resources and talent that are currently inaccessible to the rest of the organization.

• To deliver work across the business more effectively – run integrated business regions, functions and processes.

• To be able to respond more flexibly – to reflect the importance of both the global and the local, the business and the function in the structure, and to respond quickly to changes in markets and priorities.

• To develop broader people capabilities – a matrix helps develop individuals with broader perspectives and skills who can deliver value across the business and manage in a more complex and interconnected environment.
2019 California Building Standards Code
Proposed OSHPD Amendments
}

2019 CBSC Timeline

2018 Triennial Code Adoption Cycle

9/2017 - 3/2018 State Agency Workshops
7/2018 - 8/2018 Final Submittals & Submittal CAC Meetings
5/18 - 5/18
10/18
7/18
8/18
5/18
11/18
12/18
1/19
2/19
3/19
4/19
5/19
6/19
7/19
8/19
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1/1/2020

HB58 Approval
Apr-18 - Jun-18 Initial Submittal of NEC*, ICC, JAPMO & GREEN Proposed Code Changes
9/2018 - 11/2018 Public Review 45-Day Submittals Regulatory Notice and 45-Day Public Comment Period(s)
12/2018 - 1/2019 CBSC Commission Meeting for Adoption and Approval and Filing with Secretary of State
2/2019 - 7/2019 Codification and Publication Period
1/2020 Effective Date of the 2019 California Building Standards Code

*Public Participation Opportunity
**NEC Submittal if necessary

All dates are subject to change

2019 California Building Standards Code, Title 24

Code Advisory Committees (CAC):
SDLF = Structural Design/Lateral Forces
PEME = Plumbing, Electrical, Mechanical & Energy
HF = Health Facilities
GREEN = Green Building
BFO = Building Fire & Other
ACCESS = Accessibility

Rev. 7/17
(916) 263-0916
www.bsc.ca.gov
2019 CBSC Topics

• Included Items

  ➢ OSHPD 1 & 1R / OSHPD 2 / OSHPD 5 Alignment
  ➢ Outpatient Observation Unit
  ➢ Pharmacy Requirements
    ▪ Sterile Compounding
    ▪ Less than 100 Beds – Drug Room Permit Option
  ➢ Modify Table 4A to more closely align w/ ASHRAE 170
  ➢ I-2 (with restraint): Class I Flooring
  ➢ Surgical Suite Staff Changing Areas – Flow Improvement
  ➢ Energy Conservation Enhancements and Enforcement
Next Steps

• 2016 Mid-cycle Code Preparation & Training

• 2019 Triennial Code Preparation & Training
   ➢ Repurposing Seminar – November 2018

• 2019 Mid-cycle Code Development Targets
   ➢ Continuation of CDPH Title 22 Update Coordination
   ➢ Corrections Patients within Hospitals
   ➢ Onsite EHR Retention & Technology
   ➢ Chemical Dependency Facilities
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NPC Requirements Revisited
1. By January 1, 2024, the hospital owner shall submit to the Office a complete nonstructural evaluation up to *NPC 4 or 4D and NPC 5*, for each building.

2. By January 1, 2026, the hospital owner shall submit to the Office construction documents for *NPC 4 or 4D and NPC 5* compliance that are deemed ready for review by the Office, for each building that will continue to provide acute care services beyond January 1, 2030.

3. By January 1, 2028, the hospital owner shall obtain a building permit to begin construction, for *NPC 4 or 4D and NPC 5* compliance of each building that the owner intends to use as a general acute care hospital building after January 1, 2030. Hospitals not meeting the January 1, 2028 deadline set by this section shall not be issued a building permit for any noncompliant building except those required for seismic compliance in accordance with the California Administrative Code (Chapter 6), maintenance, and emergency repairs until the building permit required by this section is issued.
Address Problems

• To do that we need to address problems before…
• That means prior to…
• That means you have to plan for it. You need a plan to mitigate the flood before it is flooded. Not waiting to see how damage is done, and then look back and say we shoulda, coulda, woulda been able to…
## NPC Compliance Deadlines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPC Rating</th>
<th>Seismic Compliance Deadline</th>
<th>2002 to 2020</th>
<th>2020 to 2024</th>
<th>2024 to 2030</th>
<th>2030 and beyond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SDC D</td>
<td>SDC F</td>
<td>SDC D</td>
<td>SDC F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC -1</td>
<td>NPC-2, Remove GAC Services by 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-4D</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-4D, L1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-83 SPC-3 &amp; SPC-4</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-4D, L1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post 83 SPC-3, SPC-4 &amp; SPC5</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-2</td>
<td>NPC-4D, L1 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Seismic Compliance Update
Structure Performance Categories

**Based on 2001 Hospital Survey Results based on hospital “self-report” and then “state-of-the-art” FEMA 178 standards from 1996**

**SPC-5 includes buildings currently under construction**

For SPC - “Not Assigned” is for non-building structures such as equipment yards, cooling towers etc that are still under construction
Location of SPC-1 and SPC-2 Buildings
### SPC -1 Buildings = 190

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPC-1 Buildings with Approved SB 90 Extensions (134 without Certificate of Occupancy /Construction Final)</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-1 Buildings with only SB 1661/AB2557/SB81 Extensions</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-1 Buildings with only SB 306 Extensions</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-1 Buildings that currently have an extension</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPC-1 Buildings that do not have any extension beyond 2013*</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>190</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No acute care services – some of these building have removal-of-acute-care-services projects – all have been sent a letter urging removing building from inventory.
SB 90 Extensions of SPC-1 buildings as of 5/4/2018

- **SB 90 Risk Based**: 4 buildings
- **SB 90 Comm. Access**: 110 buildings
- **SB 90 Financial Hardship**: 19 buildings
- **AB 908**: 1 building

**SB 90 Risk Based**
- **Replace**: 21 buildings
- **Retrofit**: 55 buildings
- **Remove GAC**: 23 buildings
- **Rebuild**: 35 buildings

- **2017**: 4 buildings
- **2018**: 8 buildings
- **2019**: 120 buildings
- **2020, 2021, 2022**: 1 building

---

- **122 buildings beyond 1/1/2019**
Nonstructural Performance Categories

2001*

- NPC-1, 2000, 74%
- NPC-2, 412, 15%
- NPC-3, 50, 2%
- NPC-4, 150, 6%
- NPC-5, 4, 0%
- Not Assigned, 93, 3%

Total number of NPC Buildings = 2709

5/4/2018***

- NPC-1, 154, 5%
- Not Assigned, 66, 2%
- NPC-5, 21, 0%
- NPC-4, 861, 27%
- NPC-3, 248, 8%
- NPC-2, 1865, 58%

Total number of NPC Buildings = 3215

***Includes buildings under construction, tunnels and equipment yards
For NPC - "Not Assigned" are for buildings and nonbuilding structures either under construction or where the nonstructural performance category has not been verified
Buildings under construction or just built are assigned a preliminary NPC of 4
SPC-4D Projects

• 101 Seismic Compliance Unit projects for 50 buildings in 26 facilities.
• Only 35 buildings have the building upgrade criteria or analysis submitted to OSHPD/FDD/SCU.
• Lots of unique conditions, configurations and materials that require research and discussions.
• Prioritizing SPC-1 to SPC-4D upgrades so that their milestone deadlines can be met.
• So far 1 building has been upgraded to SPC-4D.
eSP Update
Electronic plan to paper plan submittal comparison:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Kind</th>
<th>Review Type</th>
<th># Projects</th>
<th>Avg. Average Approval Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>79 projects</td>
<td>20 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>160 projects</td>
<td>263 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>35 projects</td>
<td>140 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>140 projects</td>
<td>160 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Building</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>68 projects</td>
<td>68 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>68 projects</td>
<td>160 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remodel</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>88 projects</td>
<td>88 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>88 projects</td>
<td>94 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Projects in Bin

![Graph showing comparison of approval days for different projects and review types.](image-url)
Submittals on paper continue to be more prevalent than electronic plan submittal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submittal Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Electronic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Projects</strong></td>
<td>3,001</td>
<td>1,629 (54%)</td>
<td>1,372 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amended Construction Documents</strong></td>
<td>7,310</td>
<td>5,999 (82%)</td>
<td>1,311 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred Submittal Items</strong></td>
<td>740</td>
<td>526 (71%)</td>
<td>214 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>11,051</td>
<td>8,154 (74%)</td>
<td>2,897 (26%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Application for New Project S171073-37-00 has been received and the client has requested a Field Review. This project is an electronic review and the task status "Accepted for FDD Manager" was applied on 02/27/2018. This project is currently assigned to the South Region - Field.

This email is notification that the electronic documents for this project appear ready for your review.

**Project Number:** S171073-37-00  
**Project Type:** Application for New Project  
**Project Name:** EPC Field- Water Heater Replacement  
**Project Description:** Relocate existing non bearing wall, relocate existing water heaters.
OSHPD is working with Irvine-based ePlanSoft to deploy ePlanReview

- Web Portal
- Trackable Document Versioning
- Multiple Views and Overlays
- Mobile Device Access
Plan File “Scout” analyzes plans at upload and blocks files with errors.
New Plan Reviewer’s Console
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List Name: Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing</th>
<th>Updated At 30 Oct 2017</th>
<th>Group: MEP</th>
<th>Review Type: ELEC</th>
<th>Category: GENE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide a receptacle outlet within six feet (1.83 m) of any point along walls in livible rooms of dwelling occupancies. (210.52(A))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide ground fault circuit interrupter (GFCI) protection for personnel on receptacle(s) located in XXXXX (210.8, 422.23). a. All occupancies: bathrooms, garages, kitchens, outdoors, and within 6' of the outside edge of sinks. b. Dwelling units: Accessory buildings with floors at or below grade, crawl spaces, unfinished basements, boathouses, laundry areas, and within 6' of the outside edge of shower stalls and bathtubs. c. Other than dwelling units: rooftops, service bays, indoor wet locations, locker rooms with shower facilities, or public restrooms and support seaside public washrooms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide show window lighting(s) and receptacle branch circuit(s). The receptacle outlets shall be within 18 inches from the top of a show window. (210.62, 220.4-3(A))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List Name: Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing

For electrical equipment rated 800 amperes or more and over 6 feet wide: a. There shall be one entrance not less than 24 inches (610 mm) wide and 6-1/2 feet (1.98 m) high at each end. (110.26(C)(2)) b. The door(s) within 25 ft. of the nearest edge of work space shall open in the direction of egress and be provided with listed panic hardware. (110.26(C)(2))

Real-time collaboration between reviewer and designer.
Provide emergency egress from sleeping rooms. Show details on plans. Required minimum dimensions are 24" clear height, 20" clear width, 5.7 sq ft (5.0 sq ft. at grade level) and 44" max to bottom of opening. (1026.2.1)

Where corridors are required to be of one-hour fire-resistive construction, provide a detail showing the continuity of required construction. Indicate whether the walls will be extended to the floor/roof above or a one-hour ceiling will be provided. (Section 1004.3.4.3.1, CBC)

Provide and maintain required work space, adequate illumination, access to work space and head room about electrical equipment. (110.26)

Where corridors are required to be of one-hour fire-resistive construction, provide a detail showing the continuity of required construction. Indicate whether the walls will be extended to the floor/roof above or a one-hour ceiling will be provided. (Section 1004.3.4.3.1, CBC)

For electrical equipment rated 800 amperes or more and over 6 feet wide: a. There shall be one entrance not less than 24 inches (610 mm) wide and 6-1/2 feet (1.98 m) high at each end. (110.26(C)(2)) b. The door(s) within 25 ft. of the nearest edge of work space shall open in the direction of egress and be provided with listed panic hardware. (110.26(C)(2))
The **eServices Portal** will be migrated to The Cloud using Microsoft Azure later this year.
Anticipated Plan Approval Date Website

• Long term goal of OSHPD/FDD to be able to inform project applicants anticipated approval days **before** submitting the project for review.

• Draft website would use the same data that is used to generate the email sent when accepting the project at triage.

• The data used is dynamic - changes everyday
  – Significant caveats will be listed for the use of the data
### Average Time for OSHPD Project Approval for S/H Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of Project</th>
<th>Cost Range</th>
<th>Review Type</th>
<th>Field/Office Review</th>
<th>Primary Gravity (Y/N)</th>
<th>Managed Project (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>$175,000 and $350,000</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Number of Records in Group:** 155

| Average Days to Plan Approval: 125 | Average Days with OSHPD: 59 | Average Days Not with OSHPD: 67 | Average Backchecks: 1 | Average Hours Spent in Review: 23.2 |

---

**Average Plan Approval Days by Project Specifications**

Data does not include incremental/Phase/Collaborative reviews. Numerous variables affect the review and approval process. If your project requires more backchecks than the average, the number of days for plan approval will extend accordingly. Other factors that can affect the time it takes to review and approve projects include incomplete submittals, missing information, not responding to all comments on plans before submitting for backcheck, unusually complex projects, quality of design, and many others. Your attention to detail on your project can help assure the project will be approved on or before the anticipated plan approval date.
Average Time for OSHPD Project Approval for S/H Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of Project</th>
<th>Cost Range</th>
<th>Review Type</th>
<th>Field Office Review</th>
<th>Primary Gravity (Y/N)</th>
<th>Managed Project (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remodel</td>
<td>between $500,000 and $2 million</td>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Number of Records in Group: **667**

Average Days to Plan Approval: **210**

Average Days with OSHPD: **98**

Average Days Not with OSHPD: **111**

Average Backchecks: **1**

Average Hours Spent in Review: **61.8**

---

Draft Mockup for APAD website

---

Data does not include Incremental/Phase/Collaborative reviews. Numerous variables affect the review and approval process. If your project requires more backchecks than the average, the number of days for plan approval will extend accordingly. Other factors that can affect the time it takes to review and approve projects include incomplete submittals, missing information, not responding to all comments on plans before submitting for backcheck, unusually complex projects, quality of design, and many others. Your attention to detail on your project can help assure the project will be approved on or before the anticipated plan approval date.
Tracking of Anticipated Plan Approval Dates

• Internal tools created for OSHPD Supervisors to keep track of projects that have a Anticipated Plan Approval Date.
• Tools have links to ACA and Accela.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Project Number</th>
<th>FDO Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S180794-19-00</td>
<td>DNEOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S180316-56-00</td>
<td>DNEOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S172105-19-00</td>
<td>DNEOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S180378-19-00</td>
<td>JSCHRENNK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S180649-19-00</td>
<td>DNEOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S172900-43-00</td>
<td>MFONG2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S180917-19-00</td>
<td>JSCHRENNK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S180221-37-00</td>
<td>JSCHRENNK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tracking of Anticipated Plan Approval Dates**
Anticipated Plan Approval Dates - Results

Number of Records: 66

Number of Records meeting or beating Anticipated Plan Approval Date: 65 (98%)
Anticipated Plan Approval Dates – Key Takeaways

- Still early – not enough projects processed through the system.
- Percentage meeting and exceeding the Anticipated Plan Approval Date will change significantly.
  - OSHPD is using the average days to plan approval from past projects,
  - It is expected the percentage meeting and exceeding the Anticipated Plan Approval Date to be lower.
- Significant number of field review projects not meeting the Anticipated Plan Approval Date are not included in the data set
  - Current status is “Approval Pending”
    - Meaning projects approved by field staff, however, the design professionals have not uploaded approved drawings into eSP.
✓ ROCC Revamp
✓ Mobile Gateway
✓ Mobile App Development
✓ Invoicing Revamp
✓ Emailing Invoices
✓ Refund Process Redesign
✓ New UI and Enhanced Usability
✓ AMC Redesign

WORK IN PROGRESS
• When a building permit is issued (the RCO applies the task status “Issued” to the Issue BP task), a new task status “Bldg Permit Issued” will be applied to the Construction Start task on the parent record.

• Likewise, when a Building Permit is returned by either the PT at BP Intake or by the RCO at RCO Review, a new task status “Bldg Permit Returned” will be applied to the Construction Start task on the parent record.
Supervisor and Sr. Architect notified when a document is uploaded following a Return at Triage.
T&M Review

Block status entry if there is no time accounting entry
New Status for Triage task

• Add two new status to each discipline triage task.
  o OTC – Approved
  o OTC – Remarks

• When one of these statuses are entered, eSP will use the **Staff Est Hours** and apply it to and complete the review task.
“Over the Counter” Field Review
Using One Drive to complete Field Visit Reports
got questions
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Hospital Building Safety Board
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 13, 2018</td>
<td>Sacramento:</td>
<td>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00AM - 4:00PM</td>
<td>Los Angeles:</td>
<td>Metropolitan Water District Headquarters*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12, 2018</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 355 S. Grand Avenue, 20th Floor, Los Angeles
## Education and Outreach Committee Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 7, 2018</td>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00AM - 4:00PM</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Metropolitan Water District Headquarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 27, 2018</td>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00AM - 4:00PM</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Metropolitan Water District Headquarters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 355 S. Grand Avenue, 20th Floor, Los Angeles
## Energy Conservation and Management Committee Meetings

**CANCELED**

**May 23, 2018**
10:00AM - 4:00PM

**October 3, 2018**
10:00AM - 4:00PM

**Sacramento:**
Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development

**Los Angeles:**
Metropolitan Water District Headquarters*

* 355 S. Grand Avenue, 20th Floor, Los Angeles
### Structural & Nonstructural Regulations Committee Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 29, 2018</td>
<td>Sacramento:</td>
<td>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00AM - 4:00PM</td>
<td>Los Angeles:</td>
<td>Metropolitan Water District Headquarters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Technology Committee Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 16, 2018</td>
<td>Sacramento:</td>
<td>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00AM - 4:00PM</td>
<td>Los Angeles:</td>
<td>Metropolitan Water District Headquarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12, 2018</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 24, 2018</td>
<td>Sacramento:</td>
<td>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Los Angeles:</td>
<td>Metropolitan Water District Headquarters *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 355 S. Grand Avenue, 20th Floor, Los Angeles
### Full Board Meetings

#### Appeal to the Hospital Building Safety Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 24, 2018</td>
<td>10:00AM - 4:00PM</td>
<td>Sacramento:</td>
<td>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### HBSB Full Board Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 21, 2018</td>
<td>10:00AM - 4:00PM</td>
<td>Sacramento:</td>
<td>Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 12-13, 2018</td>
<td>10:00AM - 4:00PM</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eric Johnson, Electrical Engineer Representative, and John Donelan, Fire/Life Safety Representative, have both successfully served two terms as HBSB Members from May 2010 to May 2018. OSHPD Director Robert P. David will be swearing in their replacements at the June 21, 2018 HBSB Full Board Meeting in Sacramento.

- Electrical Engineer Representative - Roy Lopez
- Fire/Life Safety Representative – Scott Jackson
FDD’s Top 3 Objectives for 2018

1. Develop automation tools, manuals, checklists, guides, and provide staff training for FDD’s Emergency Operations duties and responsibilities.

2. Develop and promulgate cost effective codes and code changes, utilizing national standards to the extent possible, for the 2019 California Building Standards Code.

Other Objectives for 2018

1. Have office-wide meetings in Sacramento and in Los Angeles
2. Move from ePC (electronic Plan Check) to eCPR (electronic Plan Review), a new program that will enhance electronic plan review capabilities
3. Web site redesign
4. Set up Webinar Room to facilitate more Webinar training opportunities
5. Move the LA office
6. Begin issuing Project Assessments to clients at completion of plan review
7. Establish overall turnaround goals from project submittal to approval
8. Establish the IOR Training Academy
9. Continue to implement OSHPD’s Succession Plan
Other Objectives for 2018

10. Continue to recruit for and fill essential vacant positions
11. Continue with quarterly meetings with healthcare providers, state
departments, and associations
12. Continue with HBSB meetings
13. Develop more Expediting Building Permits for SNFs
14. Develop standard details for SNFs
15. Develop more “How-to” Manuals, Advisory Guides, and other tools for the
healthcare industry